Interview Summary

Application No. **09/514,999**

Applicant(s)

Tanimoto et al.

Examiner

Irene Marx

Group Art Unit 1651

| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | |
|--|---|
| (1) Irene Marx | (3) |
| (2) Mr. Arai | (4) |
| Date of Interview Apr 18, 2002 | - |
| Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant | 2) applicant's representative] |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes | e) 🗵 No. If yes, brief description: |
| Claim(s) discussed: | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The proposed amendment was discussed. It was indicated that the amendment from polyamine composition to "polyamines" would raise new issues requiring further search and/or consideration. It was pointed out that "said digested/hydrolyzed components lacks proper antecedent basis. Also the "two times" should be followed by a clause | |
| indicating the process compared regarding the doubling. A | |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.) | dments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is |
| i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa | rate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). |
| INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MF already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FR | IAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST PEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has DM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE ord of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached |
| | |

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

IRENE MARX PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1651 Louis J. Knabbe* Dan W. Martens' Gardaa H. Olean' James B. Bear Darrell L. Olson' William B. Bunker William H. Mleman Arthur S. Rase James F. Losniak Red A. Israelsen Red A. Israelsen Drew S. Hamilton Jerry F. Sewell John B. Sganga, Jr. Edward A. Schlatter Gerard von Hoffmann Joseph R. Re Catherine J. Holland John M. Carson Jana M. Carson Karen Yogel Weil Andrew H. Simpson Jeffrey L. Van Hoosear Daniel E. Altman Marquerite L. Gunn Stephen C. Jensen Vilo A. Canuse III William H. Shrave Lynda J. Zadra-Symest Steven J. Malsupsky Paul A. Stewart Paol A. Stewart Joseph F. Jennings Craig S. Summers Anneliarie Kaiser Brenton R. Babcock Thomas F. Smegal, Jr. Michael H. Trenholm Diane M. Reed Ronald J. Schoenbaum John R. King Frederick S. Berretta Hancy W. Vensko

John P. Giezentanner Adeel S. Akhtar Ginger R. Dreger Thomas R. Areo David N. Weiss Daniel Hart, Ph.D. Douglas G. Muchhauser Lori Lae Yamato Michael K. Friedland Dale C. Hunt Ph.D Richard E. Campbell Stephen M. Lobbin Stacey R. Halpera Lee W. Headerson, Ph.D. Deborah S. Shepherd Mark M. Abumeri Jon W. Gurka Eric M. Neison Mark R. Benedict, Ph.D. wart N. Benedict, Ph.D. Park N. Congver Robert J. Roby Sabing M. Lee Kardine A. Delaney John W. Holcomb James J. Mudlen III, Ph.D. Joseph S. Clasfrael Joseph M. Relsman, Ph.D. William R. Ph.D. Joseph M. Relsman, Ph.D. William R. Ph.D. William R. Zimmerman Glen L. Nuttell Eric S. Ferman, Ph.D. Tirzah Até Lowe Geoffrey Y. Uda Alexander Franco Sanjivpat S. Gill Susan M. Moss James W. Hill, M.O. Rose M. Thiessen, Ph.D. Michael L. Feller Michael A. Guillana Mark J. Kertz

Knobbe Martens Olson & Bear LLP

Intellectual Property Law

620 Newport Center Drive Sixteenth Floor Newport Beach CA 92660 Tel 949-760-0404 Fax 949-760-9502 kmob.com

ORIGINAL WILL FOLLOW VIA:

MAIL

☐ INTERNATIONAL AIRMAIL

COURIER

WILL NOT FOLLOW

HAND DELIVERY

WITH ENCLOSURES

WITHOUT ENCLOSURES

Rabinder N. Harufa Bruce S. Mahkawitz, Ph.D. Peter M. Midgley Thomas S. McClenatian Michael S. Okamoto John M. Graver Mallary K. De Merlier trian A. Lateel Amy C. Christonsen Sharon S. Ng Mark J. Gallagher, Ph.D. David G. Jankowski, Ph.D. Brian C. Horne Payson J. LeMeilleur Diana W. Prince William C. Boling Shells N. Swaroop Ben A. Kalzenellenbagen Chanelle Lee Armstrong Linda H. Liu Vincent M. Pollmeier Jeffrey S. Elisworth Andrew N. Merickel, Ph.D. Oauglas T. Hudson David L. Hauser Kaare D. Larson James F. Herkenhoff Scott L. Marray C. Philip Poirier Roger S. Shang Andrew M. Douglas Marc T. Morley Salima A. Merani, Ph.D. Tina M. Chappell Sam K. Tahmassebi, Ph.O. Jalai Sadr Josethae A. Hyman Curtiss C. Dosier

Of Counsel Jerry R. Seiler Paul C. Steinhardt

Japanese Patent Atty Katsuhiro Arai

European Patent Alty Martin Hellebrandt

Korean Patent Atty Mincheal Kim Heungsaa Chai

Solicitor (England & Wales) J. David Evered

Scientists & Engineers (Non-Lawyers)

Ralmond J. Salenieks"

Jeffery Koepke, Ph.D." Khurram Rahman, Ph.D. Jennifer A. Harnes, Ph.D. Brendan P. O'Neill, Ph.D. Tommy Y. Nagata Megumi Tanaka Che S. Chereskin, Ph.D.** Erik W. Archbold Philip C. Hartstein Julie A. Hopper Chris S. Castle James W. Ausley R'Sue P. Caron, Ph.D Jannifor Haves Kick E. Pastorian, Ph.O. Charles T. Ridgely Keith R. McCollum Johnfar F. Kerlee Bonny Yeung, Ph.D. Connie C. Tong, Ph.D. Suzanne G. Jepson, Ph.D. David K. Wiggins Megan M. McCoy Nira M. Brand Catherine M. Sanders Iman Bakhit Thomas E. Vesbit Jeffrey A. Hopkins

A Professional Corporation † Also Barrister At Law gon a man "U.S. Patent Agent

Facsimile Transmittal Sheet

Confidentiality Notice:

The documents accompanying this facsimile transmission contain confidential information which may be legally privileged. The information is intended only for the use of the recipient named below. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by telephone to arrange for return of the original documents to us; and any disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this faxed information is strictly prohibited. **FAX RECEIVED**

To:

Examiner Irene Marx 1651

FIRM:

MSPTO

APR 1 2 2002

GROUP 1600

FACSIMILE

TELEPHONE NO.:

(1) 703 308 0294 4242

FROM:

Katsu Arai

KODAZOA-BOLKUS

application No. (09/514999

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES:

OUR REFERENCE NO.:

YOUR REFERENCE NO.:

(INCLUDING COVER SHEET) 3

OPERATOR:

DATE:

4/11/02

TIME:

IF YOU DID NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES, PLEASE CALL BACK IMMEDIATELY.

Facsimile Operator Phone Number:

(949) 760-0404

Direct Line to Machine:

(949) 760-9502

MESSAGE: this is for a telephone interview schooling for 10:30 am on 4/16.

505 West C Street Suite 1200 San Diego CA 92101 Tel 819-215-8550 Fax 819-235-0176

201 California Street Suite 1150 San Francisco CA 94111 Tel 415-954-4114 Fax 415-954-4111

1900 Averue of the Stars Suite 1425 Las Angeles CA 90067 Tel 310-551-3450 Fax 310-551-3454

3801 University Avecue Suite 710 Riverside CA 92501 Tel 909-781-9231 Fax 909-711-4507

RCE

Serial No. 09/514,999 Art Unit 1651

Claim Amendment:

9 (Amended) A method of manufacturing a obtaining polyamines

composition, comprising the steps of:

providing yeast somatic components selected from the group consisting of extracts obtained from yeast by physical crushing, erextracts obtained from yeast by autolysis, erextracts obtained from yeast with hot water, and yeast RNA compositions;

subjecting said yeast somatic components to nuclease digestion or alkali hydrolysis as a decomposition step to decompose a high molecular weight substance bound with polyamine wherein for a time period effective to increase a yield of polyamines recovered in a subsequent recovery step the amount of polyamine dissociated from said yeast somatic components is by approximately double two times or more; and

recovering polyamines from said digested/hydrolyzed components.

Re: 112 ¶1 Rejection

1. "approximately double or more": Examples 1, 2, and 3 show an increase by 3, 2.1, and 3.2 times, respectively, as compared with respective Comparative Examples 1, 2, and 3, wherein the only difference between the Examples and the Comparative Examples is omitting the decomposition step of Claim 9. The degree of purification of the starting material is not in issue.

2. <u>Infinite upper limit</u>: The upper limit is not important, and one of ordinary skill in the art can freedily practice the claimed invention without an indication of the upper limit.

3. The comparison: It is clear that compared is a method without the decomposition step. No

Re: 112 ¶2 Rejection

- 1. "a high molecular weight substance": Deleted.
- 2. <u>"recovering polyamine"</u>: Polyamine is changed to polyamines (including more than one polyamine). Further, the preamble is changed to "obtaining polyamines".

Re: 103 Rejection

Serial No. 09/514,999 Art Unit 1651

- 1. Polyamines are aliphatic hydrocarbon, not peptides or amino acids. Tanekawa teaches a process of producing a yeast extract containing GMP (guanosine-5'-monophosphate). The objective is obtaining flavoring agents. The other references except for Sato are the same as Tanekawa in this respect and are irrelevant to the recovery of polyamines.
- 2. Further, Tanekawa hydrolyzes yeast cells using alkali, but this is for obtaining a yeast extract (i.e., yeast somatic components). In Tanekawa, the yeast extract is not subjected to alkali hydrolysis, but to enzymatic hydrolysis to increase GMP (column 5, line 62 through column 6, line 17).
- 6, line 17).

 3. Sato teaches recovery of polyamine. Sato discloses treatment with a sodium hydroxide solution for neutralization purposes. This is clearly different from the decomposition step by alkali hydrolysis to significantly increase a yield of polyamines.
- 4. In conclusion, none of the references suggests that the decomposition step by nuclease digestion or alkali hydrolysis significantly increase a yield of polyamine.

\\DOCS_NB\FILES\DOCS\KOA\KOA-2422.DOC 040902